

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/432,087	MISAWA, TAKESHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anatoly Vortman	2835

All Participants:

(1) Primary Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Catherine M. Voisinet, Reg. No. 52, 327. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2005

Status of Application: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

26, 27, 28, 31

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Previously agreed upon cancellation of claim 31 has been confirmed.

Also, the Examiner has initially believed that antecedent problems for "slot" are present in claims 26, 27, and 28 and suggested to replace "slot" with "chamber". However, the Examiner has later realized that these problems have been already addressed earlier in the Amendment filed on 04/18/05. Therefore, no redundant amendments have been made. For details, please see Examiner's Amendment attached hereto.



Anatoly Vortman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2835